CMSC 471 Artificial Intelligence

Search

KMA Solaiman – ksolaima@umbc.edu

Slide adoption courtesy: Tim Finin, Frank Ferraro (UMBC), Charles R. Dyer (UW-Madison), Dan Klein and Pieter Abbeel (CS188, UC Berkeley)

A General Searching Algorithm

Core ideas:

- 1. Maintain a list of frontier (fringe) nodes
 - 1. Nodes coming *into* the frontier

have been explored

 Nodes going out of the frontier have not been

explored

- 2. Iteratively select nodes from the frontier and explore unexplored nodes from the frontier
- Stop when you reach your goal



State-space search algorithm

;; problem describes the start state, operators, goal test, and operator costs ;; queueing-function is a comparator function that ranks two states ;; general-search returns either a goal node or failure

end

;; Note: The goal test is NOT done when nodes are generated ;; Note: This algorithm does not detect loops

State Space Graphs and Search Trees



State Space Graphs

- State space graph: A mathematical representation of a search problem
 - Nodes are (abstracted) world configurations
 - Arcs represent transitions/ successors (action results)
 - The goal test is a set of goal nodes (maybe only one)
- In a state space graph, each state occurs only once!
- We can rarely build this full graph in memory (it's too big), but it's a useful idea



Tiny state space graph for a tiny search problem

State Space Graphs vs. Search Trees



Each NODE in in the search tree is an entire PATH in the state space

We construct the tree on demand – and we construct as little as possible.



Informed vs. uninformed search



Uninformed search strategies (blind search)

- -Use no information about likely direction of a goal
- Methods: breadth-first, depth-first, depth-limited, uniform-cost, depth-first iterative deepening, bidirectional

Informed search strategies (<u>heuristic</u> search)

- Use information about domain to (try to) (usually)
 head in the general direction of goal node(s)
- Methods: hill climbing, best-first, greedy search, beam search, algorithm A, algorithm A*

Evaluating search strategies

Completeness

- Guarantees finding a solution whenever one exists

- Time complexity (worst or average case)
 - Usually measured by *number of nodes expanded*

Space complexity

 Usually measured by maximum size of graph/tree during the search

Optimality/Admissibility

If a solution is found, is it guaranteed to be an optimal one, i.e., one with minimum cost

Example of uninformed search strategies



Consider this search space where S is the start node and G is the goal. Numbers are arc costs.

Classic uninformed search methods

- The four classic uninformed search methods
 - -Breadth first search (BFS)
 - Depth first search (DFS)
 - Uniform cost search (generalization of BFS)
 - Iterative deepening (blend of DFS and BFS)
- To which we can add another technique
 - -Bi-directional search (hack on BFS)

Strategy: expand a shallowest node first





Strategy: expand a shallowest node first





Strategy: expand a shallowest node first





Strategy: expand a shallowest node first





Strategy: expand a shallowest node first





Strategy: expand a shallowest node first





Strategy: expand a shallowest node first















- What nodes does BFS expand?
 - Processes all nodes above shallowest solution



- What nodes does BFS expand?
 - Processes all nodes above shallowest solution
 - Let depth of shallowest solution be s



- What nodes does BFS expand?
 - Processes all nodes above shallowest solution
 - Let depth of shallowest solution be s



- What nodes does BFS expand?
 - Processes all nodes above shallowest solution
 - Let depth of shallowest solution be s
 - Search takes time O(b^s)



- What nodes does BFS expand?
 - Processes all nodes above shallowest solution
 - Let depth of shallowest solution be s
 - Search takes time O(b^s)
- How much space does the frontier take?



- What nodes does BFS expand?
 - Processes all nodes above shallowest solution
 - Let depth of shallowest solution be s
 - Search takes time O(b^s)
- How much space does the frontier take?
 - Has roughly the last tier, so $O(b^{s})$



- What nodes does BFS expand?
 - Processes all nodes above shallowest solution
 - Let depth of shallowest solution be s
 - Search takes time O(b^s)
- How much space does the frontier take?
 - Has roughly the last tier, so $O(b^{s})$
- Is it complete?



- What nodes does BFS expand?
 - Processes all nodes above shallowest solution
 - Let depth of shallowest solution be s
 - Search takes time O(b^s)
- How much space does the frontier take?
 - Has roughly the last tier, so $O(b^{s})$
- Is it complete?
 - s must be finite if a solution exists, so yes!



- What nodes does BFS expand?
 - Processes all nodes above shallowest solution
 - Let depth of shallowest solution be s
 - Search takes time O(b^s)
- How much space does the frontier take?
 - Has roughly the last tier, so $O(b^{s})$
- Is it complete?
 - s must be finite if a solution exists, so yes!
- Is it optimal?



- What nodes does BFS expand?
 - Processes all nodes above shallowest solution
 - Let depth of shallowest solution be s
 - Search takes time O(b^s)
- How much space does the frontier take?
 - Has roughly the last tier, so $O(b^{s})$
- Is it complete?
 - s must be finite if a solution exists, so yes!
- Is it optimal?
 - If costs are equal for each operator (e.g., 1)



- What nodes does BFS expand?
 - Processes all nodes above shallowest solution
 - Let depth of shallowest solution be s
 - Search takes time O(b^s)
- How much space does the frontier take?
 - Has roughly the last tier, so $O(b^{s})$
- Is it complete?
 - s must be finite if a solution exists, so yes!
- Is it optimal?
 - If costs are equal for each operator (e.g., 1)



Potential issues??

• Takes a long time to find solutions with large number of steps because must explore all shorter length possibilities first

Long time to find solutions with many steps: we must look at all shorter length possibilities first

- Complete search tree of depth s where nodes have b children has 1 + b + b² + ... + b^s = (b^(s+1) 1)/(b-1) nodes = 0(b^s)
- Tree of depth 12 with branching 10 has more than a trillion nodes
- If BFS expands 1000 nodes/sec and nodes uses 100 bytes, then it may take 35 years to run and uses 111 terabytes of memory!

Depth-First Search

Strategy: expand a deepest node first

Implementation: Frontier is a LIFO stack




Strategy: expand a deepest node first





Strategy: expand a deepest node first





Strategy: expand a deepest node first





Strategy: expand a deepest node first





Strategy: expand a deepest node first





Strategy: expand a deepest node first





Strategy: expand a deepest node first





Strategy: expand a deepest node first





Strategy: expand a deepest node first





Strategy: expand a deepest node first





Strategy: expand a deepest node first





Strategy: expand a deepest node first





Strategy: expand a deepest node first





Strategy: expand a deepest node first





Strategy: expand a deepest node first





Strategy: expand a deepest node first





• What nodes does DFS expand?



• What nodes does DFS expand?



• What nodes does DFS expand?



• What nodes does DFS expand?



- What nodes does DFS expand?
 - Some left prefix of the tree down to depth *m*.



- What nodes does DFS expand?
 - Some left prefix of the tree down to depth *m*.
 - Could process the whole tree!



- What nodes does DFS expand?
 - Some left prefix of the tree down to depth *m*.
 - Could process the whole tree!
 - If m is finite, takes time O(b^m)



- What nodes does DFS expand?
 - Some left prefix of the tree down to depth *m*.
 - Could process the whole tree!
 - If m is finite, takes time O(b^m)
- How much space does the frontier take?



- What nodes does DFS expand?
 - Some left prefix of the tree down to depth *m*.
 - Could process the whole tree!
 - If m is finite, takes time O(b^m)
- How much space does the frontier take?
 - Only has siblings on path to root, so O(bm)



- What nodes does DFS expand?
 - Some left prefix of the tree down to depth *m*.
 - Could process the whole tree!
 - If m is finite, takes time O(b^m)
- How much space does the frontier take?
 - Only has siblings on path to root, so O(bm)
- Is it complete?



- What nodes does DFS expand?
 - Some left prefix of the tree down to depth *m*.
 - Could process the whole tree!
 - If m is finite, takes time O(b^m)
- How much space does the frontier take?
 - Only has siblings on path to root, so O(bm)
- Is it complete?
 - *m* could be infinite



- What nodes does DFS expand?
 - Some left prefix of the tree down to depth *m*.
 - Could process the whole tree!
 - If m is finite, takes time O(b^m)
- How much space does the frontier take?
 - Only has siblings on path to root, so O(bm)
- Is it complete?
 - *m* could be infinite
 - preventing cycles may help



- What nodes does DFS expand?
 - Some left prefix of the tree down to depth *m*.
 - Could process the whole tree!
 - If m is finite, takes time O(b^m)
- How much space does the frontier take?
 - Only has siblings on path to root, so O(bm)
- Is it complete?
 - *m* could be infinite
 - preventing cycles may help
 - May not terminate w/o depth bound, i.e., ending search below fixed depth D (depthlimited search)



- What nodes does DFS expand?
 - Some left prefix of the tree down to depth *m*.
 - Could process the whole tree!
 - If m is finite, takes time O(b^m)
- How much space does the frontier take?
 - Only has siblings on path to root, so O(bm)
- Is it complete?
 - *m* could be infinite
 - preventing cycles may help
 - May not terminate w/o depth bound, i.e., ending search below fixed depth D (depthlimited search)
- Is it optimal?



- What nodes does DFS expand?
 - Some left prefix of the tree down to depth *m*.
 - Could process the whole tree!
 - If m is finite, takes time O(b^m)
- How much space does the frontier take?
 - Only has siblings on path to root, so O(bm)
- Is it complete?
 - *m* could be infinite
 - preventing cycles may help
 - May not terminate w/o depth bound, i.e., ending search below fixed depth D (depthlimited search)
- Is it optimal?
 - No, it finds the "leftmost" solution, regardless of depth or cost



Breadth-First Search				
	Expanded node	Nodes list (aka Fringe)		
		{ S ⁰ }		
	S ⁰	$\{ A^3 B^1 C^8 \}$	Notation	
	A ³	{ B ¹ C ⁸ D ⁶ E ¹⁰ G ¹⁸ }		
	B ¹	$\{ C^8 D^6 E^{10} G^{18} G^{21} \}$	G^{18}	
	C ⁸	{ D ⁶ E ¹⁰ G ¹⁸ G ²¹ G ¹³ }		
	D ⁶	$\{ E^{10} G^{18} G^{21} G^{13} \}$	G is node; 18 is cost of shortest	
	E ¹⁰	$\{ G^{18} G^{21} G^{13} \}$	known path from	
	G ¹⁸	$\{ G^{21} G^{13} \}$	start node S	

 \frown

Note: we typically don't check for goal until we expand node Solution path found is S A G , cost 18 Number of nodes expanded (including goal node) = 7



Expanded node	Nodes list	
	{ S ⁰ }	
S ⁰	$\{ A^3 B^1 C^8 \}$	
A ³	$\{ D^6 E^{10} G^{18} B^1 C^8 \}$	
D^6	$\{ E^{10} G^{18} B^1 C^8 \}$	
E ¹⁰	$\{ G^{18} B^1 C^8 \}$	
G ¹⁸	$\{ B^1 C^8 \}$	

Solution path found is S A G, cost 18 Number of nodes expanded (including goal node) = 5

Quiz: DFS vs BFS





Quiz: DFS vs BFS

• When will BFS outperform DFS?

• When will DFS outperform BFS?

Uniform Cost Search

g(n) = cost from root to n
Strategy: expand lowest g(n)
Frontier is a priority queue
sorted by g(n)
























































- What nodes does UCS expand?
 - Processes all nodes with cost less than cheapest solution!



- What nodes does UCS expand?
 - Processes all nodes with cost less than cheapest solution!
 - If that solution costs C^* and arcs cost at least ε , then the "effective depth" is roughly C^*/ε



- What nodes does UCS expand?
 - Processes all nodes with cost less than cheapest solution!
 - If that solution costs C^* and arcs cost at least ε , then the "effective depth" is roughly C^*/ε
 - Takes time $O(b^{C^*/\varepsilon})$ (exponential in effective depth)



- What nodes does UCS expand?
 - Processes all nodes with cost less than cheapest solution!
 - If that solution costs C^* and arcs cost at least ε , then the "effective depth" is roughly C^*/ε
 - Takes time O(b^{C*/ɛ}) (exponential in effective depth)
- How much space does the frontier take?



- What nodes does UCS expand?
 - Processes all nodes with cost less than cheapest solution!
 - If that solution costs C^* and arcs cost at least ε , then the "effective depth" is roughly C^*/ε
 - Takes time O(b^{C*/c}) (exponential in effective depth)
- How much space does the frontier take?
 - Has roughly the last tier, so $O(b^{C^{*/\varepsilon}})$



- What nodes does UCS expand?
 - Processes all nodes with cost less than cheapest solution!
 - If that solution costs C^* and arcs cost at least ε , then the "effective depth" is roughly C^*/ε
 - Takes time O(b^{C*/ɛ}) (exponential in effective depth)
- How much space does the frontier take?
 - Has roughly the last tier, so $O(b^{C^{*/\varepsilon}})$
- Is it complete?



- What nodes does UCS expand?
 - Processes all nodes with cost less than cheapest solution!
 - If that solution costs C^* and arcs cost at least ε , then the "effective depth" is roughly C^*/ε
 - Takes time O(b^{C*/ɛ}) (exponential in effective depth)
- How much space does the frontier take?
 - Has roughly the last tier, so $O(b^{C^{*/\varepsilon}})$
- Is it complete?
 - Assuming C^* is finite and $\mathcal{E} > 0$, yes!



- What nodes does UCS expand?
 - Processes all nodes with cost less than cheapest solution!
 - If that solution costs C^* and arcs cost at least ε , then the "effective depth" is roughly C^*/ε
 - Takes time O(b^{C*/ɛ}) (exponential in effective depth)
- How much space does the frontier take?
 - Has roughly the last tier, so $O(b^{C^{*/\varepsilon}})$
- Is it complete?
 - Assuming C^* is finite and $\mathcal{E} > 0$, yes!
- Is it optimal?



- What nodes does UCS expand?
 - Processes all nodes with cost less than cheapest solution!
 - If that solution costs C^* and arcs cost at least ε , then the "effective depth" is roughly C^*/ε
 - Takes time O(b^{C*/ɛ}) (exponential in effective depth)
- How much space does the frontier take?
 - Has roughly the last tier, so $O(b^{C^{*/\varepsilon}})$
- Is it complete?
 - Assuming C^* is finite and $\mathcal{E} > 0$, yes!
- Is it optimal?
 - Yes! (Proof next lecture via A*)



Uniform-	Cost Search)
Expanded node	Nodes list D E G	
	{ S ⁰ }	
S ⁰	$\{ B^1 A^3 C^8 \}_{\underline{\qquad}}$ priority queue	
B^1	{ A ³ C ⁸ G ²¹ }	
A ³	{ D ⁶ C ⁸ E ¹⁰ G ¹⁸ G ²¹ }	
D ⁶	{ C ⁸ E ¹⁰ G ¹⁸ G ²¹ }	
C ⁸	{ E ¹⁰ G ¹³ G ¹⁸ G ²¹ }	
E ¹⁰	{ G ¹³ G ¹⁸ G ²¹ }	
G ¹³	{ G ¹⁸ G ²¹ }	
Solution path found is	S C G, cost 13	

Number of nodes expanded (including goal node) = 7

Depth-First Iterative Deepening (DFID)

- Do DFS to depth 0, then (if no solution) DFS to depth 1, etc.
- Usually used with a tree search
- Complete
- **Optimal/Admissible** if all operators have unit cost, else finds shortest solution (like BFS)
- Time complexity a bit worse than BFS or DFS Nodes near top of search tree generated many times, but since almost all nodes are near tree bottom, worst case time complexity still exponential, O(b^d)

Depth-First Iterative Deepening (DFID)

- If branching factor is b and solution is at depth d, then nodes at depth d are generated once, nodes at depth d-1 are generated twice, etc.
 - -Hence $b^d + 2b^{(d-1)} + ... + db \le b^d / (1 1/b)^2 = O(b^d)$.
 - –If b=4, worst case is 1.78 * 4^d, i.e., 78% more nodes searched than exist at depth d (in worst case)
- Linear space complexity, O(bd), like DFS
- Has advantages of BFS (completeness) and DFS (i.e., limited space, finds longer paths quickly)
- Preferred for large state spaces where solution depth is unknown

How they perform

- Depth-First Search:
 - 4 Expanded nodes: S A D E G
 - Solution found: S A G (cost 18)

• Breadth-First Search:

- 7 Expanded nodes: S A B C D E G
- Solution found: S A G (cost 18)

Uniform-Cost Search:

- 7 Expanded nodes: S A D B C E G
- Solution found: S C G (cost 13)

Only uninformed search that worries about costs

• Iterative-Deepening Search:

- 10 nodes expanded: S S A B C S A D E G
- Solution found: S A G (cost 18)



Searching Backward from Goal

Usually a successor function is reversible

- i.e., can generate a node's predecessors in graph

- If we know a single goal (rather than a goal's properties), we could search backward to the initial state
- It might be more efficient

– Depends on whether the graph fans in or out

Bi-directional search



- Alternate searching from the start state toward the goal and from the goal state toward the start
- Stop when the frontiers intersect
- Works well only when there are unique start & goal states
- Requires ability to generate "predecessor" states
- Can (sometimes) lead to finding a solution more quickly

Comparing Search Strategies

Criterion	Br c adth-	Uniform-	Depth-	Depth-	Iterative	Bidirectional
	First	Cost	First	Limited	Deepening	(îf applicable)
Time	b^d	b^d	b ^m	b^l	b ^d	b ^{d/2}
Space	b^d	b^d	bm	bl	bd	b ^{d/2}
Optimal?	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes
Complete?	Yes	Yes	No	Yes, if $l \ge d$	Yes	Yes

Summary

- Search in a problem space is at the heart of many AI systems
- Formalizing the search in terms of states, actions, and goals is key
- The simple "uninformed" algorithms we examined can be augmented to heuristics to improve them in various ways
- But for some problems, a simple algorithm is best